



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,837 11/14/2003		Vincent Bryan	31132.189	2562
46333 75	90 04/10/2006		EXAMINER	
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP			STEWART, ALVIN J	
901 MAIN ST				·
SUITE 3100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75202			3738	

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applica	ant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/713,837	BRYAN	I ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Uni	t				
		Alvin J. Stewart	3738					
	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspo	ndence address				
Period for Reply								
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fron - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the s - Any reply received by the Company of the Comp	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY NGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 in the mailing date of this communication. Secified above, the maximum statutory period vet or extended period for reply will, by statute office later than three months after the mailingment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, vill apply and will expire SIX (, cause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing me ABANDONED (35 U.S.	date of this communication. C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 27 D	<u>ecember 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is F	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this appl	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accor	rdance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G.	213.				
Disposition of Claims								
•	1,23,24,26-30,35 and 36 is/are p	pending in the applic	ation.					
, , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,9 ar</u>	nd 36 is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>21,22</u>)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21,22, 24-35</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23</u> is/	-			·				
8) Claim(s)	_ are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	nt.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification	on is objected to by the Examine	er.						
<i>,</i> — ,	filed on is/are: a) acc		ed to by the Examin	er.				
Applicant may n	ot request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFF	₹ 1.85(a).				
	awing sheet(s) including the correc							
11) The oath or dec	claration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the at	ached Office Action	or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119							
·	ent is made of a claim for foreign ome * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or	(1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
•	of the certified copies of the prio			s National Stage				
• •	ion from the International Burea	•						
* See the attache	d detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copie	s not received.					
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References C Notice of Draftsperson's 	ited (PTO-892) s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		erview Summary (PTO-41 per No(s)/Mail Date					
	Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 No	tice of Informal Patent Apner:					

Response to Arguments

After a careful examination of the Applicant's remarks the Examiner maintains the rejection because the Examiner believes that the previous rejection is proper.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 9 and 36 are allowed.

Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-3, 5-8 and 10-20 are canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 21, 24, 26-30 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Monson US Patent 4,863,477.

Monson discloses an intervertebral disc (2) comprising an exterior surfaces (4 & 6), a resilient body (30 & 42), an anchor (10, 13, 14) implanted in an anterior surface of a vertebral

body, forming concave surfaces and inserting between the formed concave surfaces (see Figure 2, and col. 2, lines 55-58).

Claims 21, 24, 26-30 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bainville et al US Patent 5,674,294.

Bainville et al discloses an intervertebral disc (7) comprising an anchor (the surfaces of plates 9 &11) implanted in an anterior surface of a vertebral body, forming concave surfaces and inserting between the formed concave surfaces (see Figures 2 & 3; and col. 3, lines 18-24).

Regarding claim 10, see col. 4, lines 16-26.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22, 25 and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bainville et al US Patent 5,674,294.

Bainville et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Bainville et al does not disclose a milling jig.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to used a milling jig to make the holes between the vertebral bodies because Applicant has not disclosed that using that specific tool provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 3738

the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with

any other tool because any tool will perform equally as well.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Bainville et

al reference to obtain the invention as specified in claims 21, 24, 30 and 32.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alvin J Stewart whose telephone number is 703-305-0277. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALVIN J. STEWART PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 3738

February 21, 2006.